

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING		DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,706 03/05/2002		002	Joelle Thonnard	BM 45394	1698
25308	590	05/20/2003			
DECHERT			EXAMINER		
ATTN: ALLEN BLOOM, ESQ 4000 BELL ATLANTIC TOWER				BASKAR, PADMAVATHI	
1717 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1645	
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	δ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/018,706	THONNARD, JOELLE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	ince except for formal ma						
Disposition of Claims	ex parte dayle, 1000 C.	D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.					
4) Claim(s) 27-49 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 27-49 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the prior application	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has b	een received.					
Attachment(s)	- Friding and 00 0.0.0.	. 33 120 dilator (21.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/018,706

Art Unit: 1645

RESTRICTION

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/13/01 has been entered. Claims 1-26 have been canceled. Claims 27-49 have been entered, Claims 27-49 are pending in the application.
- 2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 27,29,32,34,35, 38 and 43-44 drawn to polypeptide and a vaccine.

(Further election of invention required. See paragraph # 4).

Group II, claims 28, 30, 31,33,36,37,39,40,41 and 42 drawn to DNA, vector and host cell.

Further election of invention required. See paragraph # 4),

Group III, claims 45 and 49 drawn to an antibody.

(Further election of invention required. See paragraph # 4),

Group IV, claim 46 drawn to a method for inducing an immune response using a polypeptide.

(Further election of invention required. See paragraph # 4),

Group V, claim 47 drawn to a method for diagnosing M.catarrhalis infection using peptide or antibody. (Further election of invention required. See paragraph # 4)

Group VI, claim 48 drawn to a method for inducing immune response using polynucleotide.

Further election of invention required. See paragraph # 4)

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/018,706

Art Unit: 1645

Group I is directed to a polypeptide, method for producing the polypeptide and a vaccine, which is the first product. The special technical feature is the polypeptide, which is made up of amino acids. Groups II-III are drawn to structurally different products such as nucleic acids and antibodies which do not require each other for their practice and do not share the same or a corresponding technical feature because they share no common structure, no common property and no common function. The Group IV-V inventions are drawn to methods using the products, which do not require each other for their practice and do not share the same or a corresponding technical feature. Note that PCT Rule 13.2 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application. Since the special technical feature of the Group I invention is not present in the Group II-III claims, unity of invention is lacking.

DISTINCT INVENTIONS

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one invention in each group.

These inventions are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 as they share no common structure, no common property and no common function. The inventions are as follows:

SEQ.ID.NOS. 1, 2, 3 or 4

The inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Sequences listed as SEQ.ID.NOS; 1-4 are different to each other and share no common structure, no common property and no common function and thus lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

If applicant elects one SEQ.ID.NO from group II, then applicant is advised to elect the epitopes of that sequence with specific nucleic acid numbers of that sequence but not a generalized formula as recited in claim 40.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,706

Art Unit: 1645

4. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a group and one sequence and

identify the SEQ.ID.NO to which the claims shall be restricted. The reply must also identify the

Page 4

claims readable on the elected invention, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Padmavathi v Baskar whose telephone number is (703) 308-8886. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30A.M-4: 00 P.M.) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular

communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

P. Baskar Ph.D.

5/16/03

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600